

I am writing to
complain about
Sinclair
Broadcasting, and
their decision to
force stations they
own to air an
explicitly political
advertising piece -
the now famous
anti-Kerry
documentary. And
this just days
before the election.
This is clearly an
abuse of media
consolidation

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
therefore obligated
by law to serve the
public interest. I
fail to see how a
media corporation
with very direct
political ties and
biases, airing a
piece that aids
their causes, serves
the public interest.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. They
show why the license
renewal process
needs to involve
more than a rubber
stamp. Thank you.